

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,811 11/21/2002		11/21/2002	Stig-Erik Johannesson	07589.0063.PCUS00	7984
28694	7590	03/20/2003			
		ARNOLD & WH	EXAMINER		
BOX 34	SILVAN.	IA AVE., NW	PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	
		•		DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	S-	٦,
II.	_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  JOHAWNESSOW
Offic Action Summary	Examiner PHAM	Group Art Unit
	ן טערלוו ו	1724
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defeature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	, a reply within the statutory min fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>pro</b> c 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
De Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed
A Claim(s)  -		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Description	•	requirement
Application Papers	•	□ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	jected to by the Examiner	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	jected to by the Examiner	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	jected to by the Examiner	-(d).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit. ☐ All ☐ Some* None of the:	jected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	–(d).
□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* ☒ None of the:  ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	jected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- n received. n received in Application No	
□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- n received. n received in Application No	D
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- in received. In received in Application No ents have been received inal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	o a))
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- in received. In received in Application No ents have been received inal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	o a))
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- in received. In received in Application No ents have been received inal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	o a))
□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- in received. In received in Application No ents have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	o a))
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- in received. In received in Application No ents have been received inal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  No(s)   Interest	a))

Application/Control Number: 10/065,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craft et al (6,015,444; 18, 20 & 60 in Fig. 4; col. 4, line 60 through col. 5, line 3; col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 3), in view of Noble, III (5,746,259; Abstract; 34, 46 & 70 in Fig. 1; col. 6, lines 49-65; col. 7, lines 26-39 and line 34 through col. 8, line 3; col. 8, line 61 through col. 9, line 14; col. 10, lines 22-31; col. 11, lines 63-67; col. 15, lines 35-37).

Craft el al disclose a device for the ventilation of a transmission case comprising a passage connected between the air inside the transmission case and the atmospheric pressure outside the transmission case and the passage comprising a first section and a second section wherein the second section has a larger flow area than the first section. Claims 1-11 differ from the disclosure of Craft et al in that the venting device has a compressed air source connected to the transmission case. Noble, III discloses a compressed gas source from a cylinder or a compressor connected to the housing of a transmission. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a compressed air source connected to the

Application/Control Number: 10/065,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

transmission case so that the compressor flow would force oil or lubricant through a series of conduits to the equipment being serviced or purge the fluid to its final destination.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Zheng et al (5,409,526) disclose an apparatus for supplying high purity fluid.
  - Raszkowski et al (6,447,565 B1) disclose a transmission vent assembly.
  - Wickland et al (6,395,050 B1) disclose a vent filter.
  - Rooney (6,395,068 B1) discloses a bulk tank depressurization filter.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (except Wednesday) from 7:15 a.m. to 5:45 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-finals) or (703) 872-9311 (after-finals).

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Minh-Chau Pham

**Patent Examiner** 

March 17, 2003